

AFROTROPICAL BEES NOW: WHAT NEXT ?

Connal Eardley

ABSTRACT

Bees are an important group of pollinators and indispensable in agriculture and for maintaining biological diversity. Although indigenous honeybees are used extensively as pollinators in commercial agriculture in Africa, other bees are also important pollinators for both commercial and small-scale farming. Although less abundant they are more effective pollinators of many plants. In the Afrotropical region bees appear to inhabit all the different biomes, making them an important reference group for biodiversity studies. Besides, they are an effective indicator group because of their sensitivity to environmental degradation. A detailed knowledge of the systematics of bees is clearly vital for the sustainable use of genetic resources in Africa. There are 129 bee genera in sub-Saharan Africa, of which 83 (64%) have been revised since 1965, and 25 (19%) are currently being studied. Of the 73 genera of long-tongued bees in sub-Saharan Africa 72 either have been revised or are receiving attention. It is therefore possible to identify most of the long-tongued bees. The short-tongued bees, which are more common and also very important pollinators, are less well known. No systematic research is currently being conducted on 33% (18 of the 55 genera) of the genera. It is imperative that Africa does not lose its expertise in bee systematics, which is pivoted on a few individuals, if advances are to be made in pollination biology.

INTRODUCTION

Bees feed their progeny on pollen and nectar, and some bees also use plant oils. These products are mostly collected from flowers by the bees that utilize them. This behaviour often results in bees pollinating the flowers they visit, which gives them the potential to play a major role in enabling seed production and determining patterns of gene flow in populations of many species of flowering plants. Bees therefore have a strong influence on patterns of diversification and distribution of the species of flowering plants with which they are associated. Flowering plants, however, are an important group of primary producers, and factors affecting their distribution and abundance may have ramifications throughout the communities to which they belong. Whereas some bees visit and pollinate a broad spectrum of flowers, and some flowers are visited by many different pollinator species, other bees and plants appear to be highly specialized resulting in a narrow spectrum of host plants or pollinators. Therefore the ecological role of bees within the community may be disproportionate to their absolute numbers, as either species or individuals. As many agricultural crops depend on pollination for fruit and/or seed production, the maintenance of diverse bee populations in agro-ecosystems is essential for sustainable agriculture.

Indigenous African honeybees (*Apis mellifera* Linnaeus), because of the technology associated with honey production, can be easily moved to agricultural fields in large numbers. This has resulted in honeybees being the most important, possibly the only, commercially managed pollinator in Africa. Although they have the advantage that large numbers can be easily manipulated, they are not efficient pollinators of many crops (Westerkamp 1991; Eardley & Mansell 1996a & b). This is due to their size, method of carrying pollen and reluctance to visit the flowers of certain plant species. Bees that have loosely packed pollen on the hind legs and on the ventral surface of the metasoma appeared to be more effective pollinators than honeybees of litchi, avocado and mango in South Africa (unpublished observations).

An accurate knowledge of the systematics of bees is essential for ecological research aimed at understanding their role in natural communities. Fortunately the systematics of bees has always received a disproportionate high level of attention relative to other groups of Afrotropical insects. This unfortunately has not been accompanied by an equivalent interest in their biology, including pollination biology. Most studies on pollination biology, other than that on the honeybee, have either been descriptive and concerned with interesting insect-plant relationships and not on pollination of agriculturally important plants, or have not been published.

Non-domesticated bees are responsible for much of the pollination of agricultural crops in Sub-saharan Africa, and their population densities are reduced by intensive and indiscriminate farming practices. Evidence of this is in a reduction in the number and diversity of pollinators in avocado, litchi and mango orchards (own observation & M. Mansell, personal communications) and lower seed set in sunflower (A du Toit, personal communication) in some extensively cultivated areas. For commercial crops this necessitates hiring bee-keepers to bring in honeybees. Such resources may not be available, which may result in reduced production. In South African semi-deserts insect-pollinated plants predominant as pasture. The Karoo climax community grasses have largely been replaced with pioneer insect pollinated plants. Insect-pollinated plants also form a major component of Namaqualand's natural vegetation. Current stock farming practices often result in changes in available nesting sites, water, mud and vegetation, which impact negatively on the bee populations that are responsible for much pollination in these area (Gess & Gess 1993). Research on the natural pollinator's biology is vital to prevent these semi-deserts from becoming denuded of vegetation due to a lack of pollinators. This cannot be done without a good knowledge of the systematics of the insects involved, especially bees.

In Africa indigenous honeybees will possibly always be the most important pollinators in commercial agriculture. There is a need, however, to investigate alternative indigenous pollinators to reduce the increasing dependence of agriculture on a single species of pollinator. Recently thousands of *A. mellifera scutellata* Latreille hives were invaded by *A. mellifera capensis* Eschscholtz workers, and infestations of varroa mite, which preys on honeybee larvae, have recently occurred in South Africa. The maintenance of diverse bee populations will reduce this dependence on *A. mellifera* and facilitate the pollination of wild plants, which have numerous associated benefits. These include the prevention/reduction of desertification and soil erosion, maintenance of watersheds and provision of refuges for biological control agents.

Current Systematic Knowledge

There are 128 Sub-saharan bee genera, of which 83 (64%) [66 (67%) for southern Africa] have been revised since 1965, and 24 (33%) are currently being studied. Thus 86% of the Sub-saharan bee genera are either revised or being studied (Appendix 1).

Of the 74 genera of long-tongued bees 73 have either been revised or are receiving attention. *Ctenoplectra*, which requires revision, is a small genus. Therefore it is possible to identify most of the long-tongued bees, several do however require further study. Only the southern African species of *Xylocopa*, *Amegilla* and *Anthophora* have been revised, *Megachile*, *Chalicodoma* and *Creightonella* require further study to enable their accurate identification.

The short-tongued bees, which appear to be more common and are most probably more important as pollinators due to their size and method of carrying pollen are less well known. No systematic research is currently being conducted on 33% (20 of the 60 genera) of the genera. Further, the revised genera generally comprise few species and those that require revision have many species. A need clearly exists for systematic research on the Sub-saharan short-tongued bees.

FAMILY	NUMBER OF GENERA				
	Revised whole Sub-saharan Region	Revised only for S. Africa	Being Revised Sub-saharan Region	Unstudied	TOTAL
Apidae	30	3	4	1	38
Fideliidae	-	-	2	0	2
Megachilidae	22	-	12		34
Colletidae	4	-	2	0	6
Andrenidae	3	-	1	0	4
Melittidae	11	-	2	2	15
Halictidae	13	-	1	16	30
TOTAL	83	3	24	19	129

TABLE 1. The number of sub-saharan bee genera, per family, that have been revised since 1965, are currently being revised and those for which there is no useful revisory study and which are not currently being studied.

Systematic research should be coordinated to ensure efficient progress. Globally coordinated systematic research will enable phylogenetic relationships to be established and facilitate comparison of pollinator scenarios in different zoogeographical regions.

Little information on pollination in Africa has been published. This is partly due to insufficient knowledge on the systematics of certain bee groups, such as the Halictidae and some Megachilidae (the genera that Pasteels [1965] revised require further study), as a number of pollination studies of agricultural significance have been initiated but not completed. Short-tongued bees and megachilids are often major components of such pollinator guilds. Therefore an ability to identify a larger proportion of pollinators is needed to stimulate pollination research. As a large portion of the Afrotropical Apoidea have been revised, relatively little further investment in bee systematics will provide large returns in enabling meaningful research in sustainable agriculture and biological diversity. Comparable systematic knowledge is available in very few other insect groups.

REQUIREMENTS

The needs of pollination biologists, with respect to biosystematic science and services are:

- Easy-to-use keys to families, genera and species
- Automated identification systems
- Distribution data
- Host plant records
- Catalogues and species lists, and
- Readily available literature

Much information is available towards these ends, but is as yet unpublished. Distribution and host plant records for many species are available, but not yet published. Databases of some of the South African collections are being prepared. Catalogues and reference lists have received substantial attention. However, the time taken to complete such long-term projects is a major constraint for potential users, and often leads to a duplication of effort. It is therefore essential to disseminate information before final publication.

SOLUTION

The solution appears to be in electronic media. Identifications can be facilitated by the development of electronic multi-access keys. The publication of information using inexpensive electronic media or the World Wide Web could make information such as catalogues available to users, who can identify errors and omissions, before publication. Existing publications could be placed on the Web for easy access. The electronic publication of new information would free more funds for research.

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) has identified the Clearing-house Mechanism as the vehicle to achieve these objectives. Pollinators are one of two groups that have been identified by Decision III/11 (1996) of the Conference Of the Parties (COP) to the Convention on Biological Diversity, taken during their Third Meeting (COP3) in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Bee systematists have a responsibility to develop an effective global electronic information storage and retrieval system to serve the needs of systematics and pollination biology alike.

BioNET-INTERNATIONAL is one of the bodies recognised in the Darwin Declaration as an appropriate avenue for the development of biosystematic capacity. As the above issues mostly concern the development of such capacity, BioNET-INTERNATIONAL, through its developing country LOOPs (Locally Organized and Operated Partnerships), could be a suitable vehicle for the achievement of this objective.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Prof C.D. Michener and Dr R.W. Brooks (both University of Kansas, U.S.A.), Dr T. Griswold (USDA Bee Biology and Systematics Laboratory, U.S.A.), Drs M.W. Mansell and G.L. Prinsloo (both ARC-Plant Protection Research Institute, South Africa) are thanked for their constructive comments.

REFERENCES

- The Biodiversity Agenda. Decisions from the 3rd Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity. 2nd edition. November 4-15; Buenos Aires, Argentina; 1996. p.28-41.
- Daly HV. Bees of the Genus *Megaceratina* in Equatorial Africa (Hymenoptera, Apoidea). The Pan-Pacific Entomologist 1985; 61(4): 339-44.
- Daly HV. Bees of the New Genus *Ctenoceratina* in Africa South of the Sahara (Hymenoptera: Apoidea). University of California Publications in Entomology 1988; 108: 1-69.
- Eardley CD. A taxonomic revision of the genus *Xylocopa* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae) in Southern Africa. Department of Agriculture, Republic of South Africa; 1983. (Entomology Memoir, 58)
- Eardley CD. A revision of the genus *Lithurge* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae) of sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa 1988; 15(2): 251-63.
- Eardley CD. The Afrotropical species of *Eucara* Friese, *Tetralonia* Spinola and *Tetraloniella* Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae). Department of Agricultural Development, Republic of South Africa; 1989. (Entomology Memoir, 75)
- Eardley CD. The Melectini in sub-Saharan Africa (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae). Department of Agricultural Development, Republic of South Africa; 1991a. [Entomology Memoir, 82]

- Eardley CD. The genus *Epeolus* Latreille from sub-Saharan Africa (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae). *Journal of Natural History* 1991b; 25: 711-31.
- Eardley CD. The Southern African Panurginae (Andrenidae: Hymenoptera). *Phytophylactica* 1991c; 23(2): 115-36.
- Eardley CD. The African species of *Pachymelus* Smith (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae). *Phytophylactica* 1993b; 25: 217-29.
- Eardley CD. The Genus *Amegilla* Friese in Southern Africa (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae). Department of Agriculture; Republic of South Africa; 1994. (Entomology Memoir, 91)
- Eardley CD. The genus *Scapter* Lepeletier and Serville (Hymenoptera: Colletidae). *African Entomology* 1996; 4(1): 37-92.
- Eardley CD, Brooks RW. The genus *Anthophora* Latreille in southern Africa (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae). Department of Agricultural Development, Republic of South Africa; 1989. (Entomology Memoir, 76)
- Eardley CD, Schwarz M. The Afrotropical species of *Nomada* Scopoli (Hymenoptera: Anthophoridae). *Phytophylactica* 1991; 23(1):17- 27.
- Eardley CD, Brothers DJ. Phylogeny of the Ammobatini and revision of the Afrotropical genera (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Nomadinae). *Journal of Hymenoptera Research* 1997; 6(2): 353-418.
- Eardley CD, Mansell MW. The natural occurrence of insect pollinators in an avocado orchard. *South African Avocado Grower's Association Yearbook* 1996 a; 19: 36-8.
- Gess FW, Gess SK. Effects of increasing land utilization on species representation and diversity of Aculeate wasps and bees in the semi-arid Areas of Southern Africa. In: LaSalle J, Gauld ID, editors. *Hymenoptera and biodiversity*. Wallingford: CAB International; 1993. p.83-113.
- Lieftinck MA. Further studies on old world Melectine bees, with stray notes on their distribution and host relationships (Hymenoptera, Anthophoridae). *Tijdschrift voor Entomologie* 1972; 115(7): 253-324.
- Michener CD. A taxonomic study of African allodapine bees (Hymenoptera, Anthophoridae, Ceratinini). *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 1975; 155(2): 69-240.
- Michener CD. Classification of the bee family Melittidae with a review of species of Meganomiinae. *Contributions of the American Entomological Institute* 1981; 18(3): 1-135.
- Michener CD. Classification of the Apidae (Hymenoptera). *The University of Kansas Science Bulletin* 1990; 54(4): 75-163.
- Michener CD, Griswold TL. The classification of the old world Anthidiini (Hymenoptera, Megachilidae). *The University of Kansas Science Bulletin* 1994; 55(9): 299-327.
- Pasteels JJ. Revision des Megachilinae (Hymenoptera Apoidea) de L'Afrique Noire: les genres *Creightoniella*, *Chalicodoma* et *Megachile* (s. str.). *Annales du Musée Royal de L'Afrique Centrale. Serie In-8-Sciences Zoologiques* 1965; (137): 1-563.

- Pasteels JJ. Revision des Megachilidae (Hymenoptera Apoidea) de L'Afrique Noire. II. Le genre *Coelioxys*. Annales du Musée Royal de L'Afrique Centrale. Serie In-8-Sciences Zoologiques 1968; (167): 1-139.
- Pauly A. Les espèces métalliques afrotropicales du sous-genre *Ctenonomia* du genre *Lasioglossum* (Hymenoptera, Apoidea, Halictidae). Revue de Zoologie Africaine 1980; 94: 1-10.
- Pauly A. Les espèces afrotropicales de *Pachyhalictus* Cockerell du sous-genre *Dictyohalictus* Michener Hymenoptera Apoidea Halictidae). Revue de Zoologie Africaine 1989; 103: 41-9.
- Pauly A. Classification des Nomiinae africains (Hymenoptera Apoidea Halictidae). Annales Sciences Zoologiques 1990; 261: 1-206.
- Ruttner F. Biogeography and taxonomy of honeybees. Berlin: Springer Verlag; 1988.
- Snelling RR. The systematics of the hylaeine bees (Hymenoptera: Colletidae) of the Ethiopian zoogeographical region: the genera and subgenera with revisions of the smaller groups. Contributions in Science, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County 1985; (361):1-33.
- Westerkamp C. Honeybees are poor pollinators – why? Plant Systematics and Evolution 1991; 177: 71-5.

APPENDIX 1

The current systematic status of the Sub-saharan bees, including major revisions and specialists currently studying taxa. The Madagascan bee fauna is currently being revised (Pauly *et al.*, 2001) and is not included.

APIDAE

<i>Acanthomelecta</i>	Revised	Lieftinck 1972
<i>Afromelecta</i>	Revised	Lieftinck 1972
<i>Allodape</i>	Revised	Michener 1975
<i>Allodapula</i>	Revised	Michener 1975
<i>Amegilla</i>	Revised, southern Africa only	Eardley 1994
<i>Ammobates</i>	Revised	Eardley & Brothers 1997
<i>Ammobatoides</i>	Revised	Eardley & Brothers 1997
<i>Anthophora</i>	Revised, southern Africa only	Eardley & Brooks 1989
<i>Apis</i>	Revised	Ruttner, 1988
<i>Braunsapis</i>	Revised	Michener 1975
<i>Ceratina</i>	Being revised	Daly, University of California, Berkley, USA
<i>Cleptotrigona</i>	Revised	Michener 1990
<i>Compsomelissa</i>	Revised	Michener 1975
<i>Ctenoceratina</i>	Revised	Daly 1988
<i>Ctenoplectra</i>	Not revised	
<i>Dactylarina</i>	Revised	Michener 1990
<i>Epeolus</i>	Revised	Eardley 1991b
<i>Eucara</i>	Revised	Eardley 1989
<i>Eucondylops</i>	Revised	Michener 1975
<i>Halterapis</i>	Revised	Michener 1975

<i>Hypotrigona</i>	Revised	Michener 1990
<i>Liotrigona</i>	Revised	Michener 1990
<i>Macrogalea</i>	Revised	Michener 1975
<i>Megaceratina</i>	Revised	Daly 1985
<i>Meliponula</i>	Revised	Michener 1990
<i>Nasutapis</i>	Revised	Michener 1975
<i>Neoceratina</i>	Being revised	Daly
<i>Nomada</i>	Revised	Eardley & Schwarz 1991
<i>Pachymelus</i>	Revised	Eardley 1993b
<i>Pasites</i>	Revised	Eardley & Brothers 1997
<i>Pithitis</i>	Being revised	Daly
<i>Plebeina</i>	Revised	Michener 1990
<i>Protopithitis</i>	Being revised	Daly
<i>Sphecodopsis</i>	Revised	Eardley & Brothers 1997
<i>Tetralonia</i>	Revised	Eardley 1989
<i>Tetraloniella</i>	Revised	Eardley 1989
<i>Thyreus</i>	Revised	Eardley 1991a
<i>Xylocopa</i>	Revised, southern Africa only	Eardley 1983

FIDELIIDAE

<i>Fidelia</i>	Being revised	Whitehead & Eardley, in press
-----------------------	----------------------	--

MEGACHILIDAE

<i>Afrantheidium</i>	Being revised	Michener* & Griswold** 1994 *University of Kansas, Lawrence USA, **USDA, Bee biology & Systematics Laboratory, Logan USA Pasteels 1984 Griswold
<i>Afroheriades</i>	Being revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Afrostelis</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Anthidiellum</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Anthidioma</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Anthidium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Aspidosmia</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Atropium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Benanthis</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Chalicodoma</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1965
<i>Coelioxys</i>	Being revised	Schwarz, Ansfelden, Austria Pasteels 1968
<i>Creightoniella</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1965
<i>Cyphantheidium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Euaspis</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Gnathantheidium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Haetosmia</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Heriades</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Hoplitis</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Larinostelis</i>	Revised	Michener & Griswold 1994
<i>Icterantheidium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Lithurge</i>	Revised	Eardley 1988
<i>Megachile</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1965
<i>Noteriades</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Ochreriades</i>	Revised	Griswold 1984
<i>Othinosmia</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Pachyantheidium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984

<i>Plesianthidium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Pseudoanthidium</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Pseudoheriades</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Serapista</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Stelis</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Stenoheriades</i>	Being revised	Griswold
<i>Trachusa</i>	Revised	Pasteels 1984
<i>Wainia</i>	Being revised	Messinger & Griswold
COLLETIDAE		
<i>Calloprosopis</i>	Revised	Snelling 1985
<i>Colletes</i>	Being revised	Kuhlmann, Ahlem, Germany
<i>Scapter</i>	Revised	Eardley 1996
<i>Hylaeus</i>	Revised, partly	Snelling 1985, excludes Subgenus <i>Deranchylaeus</i>
<i>Nothylaeus</i>	Being revised	Snelling, Los Angeles, USA
<i>Psilylaeus</i>	Revised	Snelling 1985
ANDRENIDAE		
<i>Andrena</i>	Not revised	
<i>Melitturga</i>	Revised	Eardley 1991c
<i>Meliturgula</i>	Revised	Eardley 1991c
<i>Mermiglossa</i>	Revised	Eardley 1991c
MELITTIDAE		
<i>Agemmonia</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Atrosamba</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Capicola</i>	Not revised	
<i>Ceratomonía</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Haplomelitta</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Haplosamba</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Meganomia</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Melitta</i>	Not revised	
<i>Metasamba</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Promelitta</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Prosamba</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Samba</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
<i>Rediviva</i>	Being revised	Whitehead
<i>Redivivoides</i>	Being revised	Whitehead
<i>Uromonia</i>	Revised	Michener 1981
HALICTIDAE		
<i>Acunomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Afronomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Austronomia</i>	Not revised	
<i>Crocisaspidia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Eupetersia</i>	Not revised	
<i>Halictus</i>	Not revised	
<i>Lasioglossum</i>	Revised, partly	Pauly 1980
<i>Leuconomia</i>	Not revised	
<i>Lipotriches</i>	Not revised	
<i>Macronomia</i>	Not revised	
<i>Maynenomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Nomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Nomiapis</i>	Not revised	
<i>Nomioides</i>	Being revised	Pesenko, Academy of

		Sciences, St Petersburg Russia
<i>Nubenomia</i>	Not revised	
<i>Pachyhalictus</i>	Revised	Pauly 1989
<i>Pachynomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Parathrincostruma</i>	Not revised	
<i>Patellapis</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Pseudapis</i>	Not revised	
<i>Rophites</i>	Not revised	
<i>Ruginomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Spatunomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Sphecodes</i>	Not revised	
<i>Steganomus</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Stictynomia</i>	Revised	Pauly 1990
<i>Systropha</i>	Not revised	
<i>Thrincostruma</i>	Not revised	
<i>Trinomia</i>	Not revised	
<i>Zonalictus</i>	Not revised	

Pauly, A., R. Brooks, A. Nilsson, Y. Psenko, C. Eardley, M. Terzo, T. Griswold, M. Schwarz, S. Patiny, J. Munzinger and Y. Barbier. 2001. Hymenoptera Apoidea de Madagascar et des îles voisines. *Annales Sciences Zoologiques* 286: 1-390. 16 pls.